Attorney Docket No.: Q95517

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

Application No.: 10/583,546

REMARKS

Claims 5 and 6 have been examined and have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e).

I. Preliminary Matter

Applicant has amended various portions of claims 5 and 6 for clarification reasons.

II. Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,909,532 to Chung et al. ("Chung")

The Examiner has rejected claims 5 and 6 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as allegedly being anticipated by Chung.

A. Claim 5

Applicant submits that claim 5 is patentable over the cited reference. For example, claim 5 recites, "connecting connection terminals of an outer circuit directly to the electrodes provided at the rear surface of the first substrate."

Chung discloses substrates 1552 and 1554 (Fig. 13B). Electrodes 1502 and 1580, etc., are provided on the front and rear surfaces of substrate 1554 and are connected via the through holes 1564. As set forth above, however, the electrodes of the rear surface of the claimed substrate are *directly* connected to connection terminals of an outer circuit (see, e.g., outer circuit 15 of Figure 11(c) of the present Application). On the other hand, the alleged rear electrode 1580 of Chung is connected to an outer circuit via elements 1590 and 1508. Thus, Chung depicts a type of *indirect* connection of the electrodes.

At least based on the foregoing, Applicant submits that claim 5 is patentable over the cited reference.

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111 Attorney Docket No.: Q95517

Application No.: 10/583,546

B. Claim 6

Applicant submits that claim 6 is patentable at least by virtue of its dependency.

III. Newly Added Claim

By this Amendment, Applicant has added claim 8 to provide more varied protection of

the present invention. Claim 8 reads on the elected invention.

As support for the claimed feature, Applicant refers the Examiner to the non-limiting

embodiment of Figure 10(b) of the present Application which depicts electrodes 5-1 and 5-2 as

being recessed within the front and rear surfaces of the substrate 1. Since the electrodes are

recessed, the outermost surface of the substrate 1 becomes flat, thus preventing distortion of the

panel when the panel is arranged on a flat surface. Moreover, the electrode is not projected from

the surface of the substrate. Accordingly, it is possible to prevent an injury or break of the

electrode when the panel is installed in another apparatus.

Applicant submits that the alleged electrodes 1502, 1580, etc., of Chung, are provided on

top of the outer surface of the alleged substrate 1554 as opposed to being recessed in the surfaces

of the substrate.

IV. Conclusion

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed

to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the

Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is

kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

6

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

Application No.: 10/583,546

Attorney Docket No.: Q95517

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC

Telephone: (202) 293-7060 Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373
CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: April 8, 2009

Allison M. Tulino

Registration No. 48,294